

Applicant's Summary of Phone Interview

1. A phone interview between examiners Mohammad Yusuf and Edward Tolan and Applicant's representative, Denis O'Brien, was conducted on Jun07.2010. The interview was summarized by an Interview Summary filed by the PTO on Jun10.2010.
2. Applicant agrees with the Interview Summary of Jun10.2010 as far as it goes, but annexes the following points in order to make a complete record.
 - a. With respect to Applicant's amendment of specification paragraph [0058], Applicant agreed to further amend the paragraph in accordance with Examiner Yusuf's advice given at page 3 of OA3. Examiner Yusuf agreed that his recommended language fairly describes the roller track sides as disclosed by the specification.
 - b. It was agreed that the art of record, and specifically Bachhofer (DE19939180), fails to disclose the subject matter of Claims 27-50 because the art of record does not disclose the roller track having two roller track sides spaced apart to accommodate rollers. It was specifically agreed that Bachhofer discloses a ledge-type track without opposing track sides. Consequently, independent claims 27 and 40 were deemed allowable over the art of record.
 - c. Examiners Tolan and Yusuf directed O'Brien to file a response to OA3 and make the foregoing arguments for allowance of claims 27-50. It was understood by O'Brien that a further search would be required with respect to the structure of opposing roller track sides and the limitation of the sides spaced apart to accommodate a roller. However, any further such search, if conducted, is not required as a result of any claim amendments.